

Introduced by Senator Ortiz

February 21, 2003

An act to add Article 4 (commencing with Section 104210) to Chapter 2 of Part 1 of Division 103 of the Health and Safety Code, relating to cancer.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 689, as introduced, Ortiz. Breast Milk Biomonitoring Pilot Program.

Existing law provides for various cancer screening and detection programs that are administered by the State Department of Health Services, including the Breast and Cervical Cancer Treatment Program.

This bill would establish the Breast Milk Biomonitoring Pilot Program, to be administered by the department. This bill would require the department, as part of its duties in regard to the pilot program, to develop an exemplary community-based biomonitoring pilot program using breast milk as a marker of community health in a minimum of 3 economically, racially, and geographically diverse communities throughout the state. This bill would also require the department to appoint an advisory committee of experts from the breast cancer, public health, environmental health, environmental justice, research, and scientific communities in implementing the pilot program.

This bill would require the department to adopt regulations implementing these provisions. This bill would require the department to submit a report to the Legislature concerning the pilot program annually each year for a period of 3 years after it has adopted regulations implementing these provisions and the pilot program has been funded and administered.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes.
State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares all of the
2 following:

3 (a) The lifetime risk of breast cancer has increased dramatically
4 in the last 60 years, rising from 1 in 22 in the 1940s to 1 in 8 today.
5 Incidence rates continue to increase, making breast cancer the
6 second leading cause of cancer-related deaths for women in
7 California. More than 50 percent of breast cancer cases cannot be
8 explained by known risk factors.

9 (b) More than 85,000 synthetic chemicals are registered for use
10 in the United States, and another 2,000 are added each year. Of
11 those chemicals, only 7 percent have been tested for their effects
12 on human health. The evidence linking numerous synthetic
13 chemicals to adverse outcomes in human growth and development
14 requires investigation and analysis of the effects of these
15 contaminants on the human body.

16 (c) Levels of synthetic chemicals, also referred to as an
17 individual's chemical "body burden," provide information that
18 can protect the well-being of individuals and support their ability
19 to make informed decisions about their health. The systematic
20 collection and analysis of biospecimens from individuals also may
21 have significant public health implications since individuals
22 body-burden data can be used to extrapolate the levels of exposure
23 to environmental toxins by a community as a whole. The process
24 of measuring the amount of synthetic chemicals in the body by
25 examining blood, urine, fat, or breast milk is known as
26 biomonitoring.

27 (d) Breast-feeding is considered to be the optimal choice for the
28 health of both infant and mother. Infants who are breast-fed have
29 stronger immune systems and thus lower incidences of ear and
30 throat infections and asthma throughout their childhood.

31 (e) Breast-feeding has also been shown to promote better motor
32 and concentration skills. In addition, adults who were breast-fed
33 as infants appear less likely to suffer from obesity, diabetes, and
34 other chronic health conditions.

1 (f) Breast-feeding is also advantageous for women, reducing
2 the risk of various diseases and infections, including, but not
3 limited to, breast and ovarian cancers. Approximately 64 percent
4 of women in the United States breast-feed, at least initially after
5 giving birth, and health professionals and other advocates would
6 like to see an increase in breast-feeding because of the extensive
7 health benefits.

8 (g) Science has shown the reliability of breast milk as a marker
9 of human exposures to toxic chemicals. Research has detected
10 more than 200 synthetic and toxic chemicals, including flame
11 retardants, dioxins, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), DDT, and
12 other pesticides, in breast milk. Relatively little research has been
13 conducted in the United States to determine levels of contaminants
14 in humans at this time. Germany and Sweden have national breast
15 milk monitoring programs, and this research has broad public
16 health implications throughout the world.

17 (h) Breast milk biomonitoring is a key research tool in the
18 struggle against breast cancer because contaminants are stored in
19 the fatty tissue of human bodies. The international research
20 community has joined breast cancer advocates in the identification
21 of biomonitoring of chemicals as a research priority for breast
22 cancer research.

23 (i) During lactation, fatty tissue is utilized to produce breast
24 milk. Toxic chemicals may become more concentrated in the
25 breast during lactation, and may be transferred to the nursing
26 infant. Medical experts, including those at the American College
27 of Nurse-Midwives and the American Academy of Pediatrics,
28 advocate that breast milk remains the healthiest source of nutrition
29 for infants, even with toxic contaminants.

30 (j) While the presence of synthetic chemicals in breast milk is
31 distressing, the presence of these toxic chemicals in breast milk
32 also has implications beyond the health of the mother and child as
33 evidence of contamination of the communities in which they live.

34 (k) Many disenfranchised communities remain at higher risk
35 for involuntary exposure to toxic chemicals because of factors,
36 including, but not limited to, their proximity to hazardous waste
37 incinerators, landfills, powerplants, and superfund sites. People
38 who live in these communities could benefit from a better
39 understanding of their chemical body burdens.

(l) The importance of forging a public-private partnership to ensure the creation of a model breast milk biomonitoring program that meets the needs of diverse communities has been well-documented. Specifically, African-American women's rates of mortality from breast cancer have been shown to be significantly higher than those of Caucasian women. Latinas also experience similar trends in increased mortality due to breast cancer. In fact, breast cancer is the leading cause of cancer deaths among Latinas.

(m) Therefore, the Legislature declares that the development of a statewide breast milk biomonitoring program will expand the possibilities for biomedical, epidemiological, and behavioral research. Since the United States has conducted only a few regional studies, there is a need for the State of California to encourage this research because it is vital to the health and well-being of millions of citizens, not only in developing prevention measures for breast cancer but also for other diseases related to environmental exposures.

SEC. 2. Article 4 (commencing with Section 104210) is added to Chapter 2 of Part 1 of Division 103 of the Health and Safety Code, to read:

Article 4. Breast Milk Biomonitoring Pilot Program

104210. (a) The department shall develop an exemplary community-based biomonitoring pilot program using breast milk as a marker of community health. The pilot program shall promote breast-feeding, identify the chemicals that are present in breast milk, establish links to specific environmental toxins and geographic areas, and initiate a plan to eliminate these contaminants.

(b) The pilot program shall be tested as a model biomonitoring program in a minimum of three economically, racially, and geographically diverse communities throughout the state, and the department shall adapt the program as needed.

(c) The department shall appoint an advisory committee, composed of experts from the breast cancer, public health, environmental health, environmental justice, research, and scientific communities. The advisory committee shall advise the department on implementation and evaluation of the pilot

1 program, and, if needed, any revisions to the model training
2 program, resource materials, and outreach materials based on
3 information gleaned from the pilot program.

4 (d) An entity identified by the Legislature shall oversee the
5 design of a community-based, participatory research project that
6 involves members of the communities where the pilot program is
7 being conducted in the design, implementation, and evaluation of
8 the research and in the communication of the research findings to
9 the community.

10 (e) The department shall develop a model training program for
11 health care providers, health educators, and other program
12 administrators that communicates the benefits of assessing
13 chemical body burdens while promoting the importance of
14 breast-feeding. This model training program shall be disseminated
15 as necessary.

16 (f) Promotion of the full spectrum of activities that support
17 breast-feeding, including, but not limited to, adequate maternity
18 leave, mother and baby-friendly public spaces, and workplace
19 spaces shall be required in the test communities.

20 (g) The program established by this article shall include all of
21 the following:

22 (1) Comprehensive educational and resource materials for
23 program participants that communicate the dual benefits of
24 understanding community health by measuring chemical body
25 burdens while promoting breast milk as the healthiest, most
26 nutritious food for infants.

27 (2) The development of a model protocol for any future
28 programs that addresses the science and practice of conducting
29 biomonitoring using breast milk and that engages the community
30 and promotes breast-feeding.

31 (3) Community outreach materials that address confidentiality
32 concerns and communicate the benefits of measuring chemical
33 body burdens while promoting breast-feeding. These materials
34 shall be disseminated to organizational and individual
35 participants, and shall include, but not be limited to, information
36 that does each of the following:

37 (A) Explains individual body burden analysis of the chemicals
38 being investigated.

39 (B) Explains routes and levels of exposure.

40 (C) Describes population-based health effects and toxicity.

1 (D) Describes steps individuals can take to reduce their
2 exposure to environmental toxins.

3 (E) Outlines steps being taken by local, state, and federal
4 governmental entities to regulate or eliminate dangerous
5 exposures.

6 (h) The department shall adopt regulations to implement this
7 section.

8 (i) The department shall submit a brief report to the Legislature
9 after the regulations to implement this section have been adopted,
10 and annually each year, for three years after the pilot program has
11 been funded and administered. The annual report shall include a
12 program description, methodology, and program outcomes, and
13 shall assess the goals of the different criteria for the pilot program.
14 The department shall consult with the advisory committee
15 established pursuant to subdivision (c), and other appropriate
16 scientific entities, as part of the reporting process.

17 (j) As used in this article, “chemical body burden” means the
18 level of a synthetic chemical in an individual.

